

Response to Pre-Bid queries for the Civil works Package No. GSHP II/NCB/03 under Second Gujarat State Highway Project (GSHP-II) held on 11/07/2013.

Sr.No.	Clause No.	Gist of the Query	Response	Remarks
1	Time data sheet, IFB and Clause 23.1 of IFB	Mentioned 2 different time on referred places, 15.00 hrs & 12.30 hrs, Clarification requested as to which one is correct.	Refer Sr No.:4 of Addendum.	
2	Contract Data	Considering that a period of 2 3 months is required for mobilizing the site and to start plant operations (after obtaining required permits), the Stipulated time of 8 months for achieving milestone-1, which stipulates completion of 15.8 Km of Road stretch is too short. More over, time for rains, if any, may also be accommodated. Thus, the time for achieving Mile stone - I is requested to be modified to 12 months.	Not acceptable.	
3	Contract Data	Rates of deductions as Liquidity damages are considerably as high as about 10 times. It is requested to be modified accordingly. Also the amount of liquidated damages for the whole works is requested to be changed to 5% maximum.	Not acceptable.	
4	Cl.48.2 of Conditions of Contract & Contract Data	The facilitate proper cash flow, the contractor may be allowed to substitute the retention money, by Bank Guarantee, as son as it crosses Rs.5 millions and thereafter in denomination of Rs.5 Millions.	Not acceptable.	
5	Clause 13 of Conditions of Contract & Contract Data	Insurance cover is to be provided for the period from Start Date of expiry of maintenance period as per minimum amount as appended in the table. However, after the completion date i.e. during DLP and maintenance period. Number of Plant and Machinery will be considerably reduced. Hence, corresponding amount (in i & ii) has to be reduced. Modification to that affect is requested. Acknowledging the face with regards to work force you have provided remark in amount column (in accordance-----)already.	Refer Sr No.:9 of Addendum.	
6	Clause 63.1(a) of Conditions of Contract	Employer must notify the event within 03 days instead of 07 days.	Not acceptable.	

Sr.No.	Clause No.	Gist of the Query	Response	Remarks
7	Clause 4.5B (Page 12) of ITB & Tech Spec. Sub clause 406.3.4(Page 177)	Amendment of sub-clause 406.3.4 provides for use of Paver Finisher with electronic sensing device where as the table in Clause 4.5 B of ITB appends requirement of 5.5 m wide WMM Mechanical Paver Finisher. Out of the two options, the second option of using mechanical paver is practically better and is always used for WMM works. So please confirm.	Refer Sr. No.:2 & 6 of Addendum.	
8	Section 7 of Bid Doc	Two BOQs, namely BOQ-A and BOQ-B, are given in Bid Document, We reckon, BOQ-A is for Atkot - Gondal Road Km 269+862 to Km 245+486, whereas BOQ - B is for Atkot Paliyad Road, Please confirm. In case it is not so, please clarify.	Refer Sr No.:10 of Addendum.	
9	BOQ A, Item No.7.03: Median Kerb Type-B	BOQ 'A' Item No. 7.03 is for Construction of open..... Details and / drawing of Type-B median kerbs (Mentioned in item Description) are missing in the Bid documents. Please Provide the same.	Refer BOQ 'A' Item No. 7. 03 which is self explanatory. Refer Sr. No.:12 of Addendum.	
10	BOQ A (Item No.7.06)	Unit for Item of lined drain given as Lm. Considering the drawing provided for Lined drain and huge quantity of lined drain (11425 Lm) the amount for this item is quite high. We kindly therefore request to provide quantity of drain in separate items like concrete, steel etc. instead of Lm. - Kindly also mention weather it will be possible to reduce the wall and base thickness of drain by designing during execution. - Kindly also mention the grade of concrete to be used in lined drain as BOQ says M20 while drawing indicated M25.	Not acceptable. No reduction will be allowed. Refer Sr No.:11 of Addendum.	

Sr.No.	Clause No.	Gist of the Query	Response	Remarks
11	Clause No.4.5B(C), A general of Bidding document Volume-I	Instead of the draft Bank Certificate provided on page No. 35, section II of Volume I, please accept Credit line certificate issued by Bank as per banker's standard format and / or Certificate issued by C A/ Statutory auditor for Liquid assets as per latest audit report of the bidder.	} Not Acceptable.	
12	Page No.35, Section II of Volume-1	Reason for above is that the Bankers are having difficulty to comply with the draft certificate language because of RBI guidelines.		
13	Clause 4.6 of ITB Section 1	Please clarify in of ITB Section 1 clause 4.6 of Joint venture criteria in detailed for how calculate all criteria for joint venture partners.	Refer ITB clause 4.6, which is self explanatory.	
14	Clause 4.6 of ITB Section 1	Please clarify for how can we calculate the minimum state of each Joint venture partners for joint Venture. If both partners are fulfill the minimum criteria of joint venture qualification so lead partner's stake will be 90% and secondary partner's stake 10% permissible. Please clarify.	Refer Sr. No.:13 here in.	

Superintending Engineer
Project Implementation Unit
Gandhinagar